

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

09/615,883

APPLICATION NO.

FILING DATE

Н

10110-3

07/14/00

OHTA

EXAMINER

IM52/0427

VINCENT O WAGNER ESQ WOODARD EMHARDT NAUGHTON MORIARTY & MCNE BANK ONE CENTER TOWER 111 MONUMENT CIRCLE SUITE 3700 INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204-5137

PENA PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1775

DATE MAILED:

04/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

4,		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	•	•	OHTA ET AL.
Office Action Summary		09/615,883	OHIAET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Jason Vincent V. de la Peña	1775
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
- Contract (a) filed on			
1)∐ 2a)⊟	·	nis action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claims 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
- the state of the			
- Application No			
— Stane			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
16) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	19) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)



Application/Control Number: 09/615,883

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election / Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 255.19.
- II. Claims 17-25, drawn to a product, classified in class 428, subclass 697.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806-05(f)). In the instant case the product can be produced by a materially different process such as one utilizing sol-gel to produce ITO film.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as show by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Vincent O. Wagner on April 19, 2001, no election was made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/615,883

Art Unit: 1775



or more of the currently name inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Vincent V. de la Peña whose telephone number is (703) 308-6419. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (703) 308-3822.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Jason Vincent V. de la Peña April 25, 2001

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER